

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANGELA COLE and BEATRICE ROCHE,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

QUEST DIAGNOSTICS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiffs Angela Cole and Beatrice Roche (“Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and all
2 other persons similarly situated, by and through their attorneys, make the following allegations
3 pursuant to the investigation of their counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to
4 allegations specifically pertaining to themselves and their counsel, which are based on personal
5 knowledge.

6 **NATURE OF ACTION**

7 1. This is a class action suit brought on behalf of all persons who have visited
8 questdiagnostics.com (General Website) or myquest.questdiagnostics.com (Patient Protected
9 Website).

10 2. Quest Diagnostics, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Quest Diagnostics”) describes itself as
11 “the world’s leading provider of diagnostic information services,”¹ providing “the world’s largest
12 database of clinical lab results,” and “annually serv[ing] one in three adult Americans and half the
13 physicians and hospitals in the United States.”²

14 3. Defendant aids, employs, agrees with, and conspires with Facebook to eavesdrop on
15 communications sent and received by Plaintiffs and Class members, including communications
16 containing protected medical information. Plaintiffs bring this action for legal and equitable
17 remedies resulting from these illegal actions.

18 **THE PARTIES**

19 4. Plaintiff Angela Cole is domiciled in Encino, California. In August 2019, Plaintiff
20 Cole ordered a diagnostic test from Defendant through her primary care physician. To access those
21 results, Plaintiff Cole navigated to the General Website, which redirected her to the Patient
22 Protected Website, where she created an account. Once Defendant completed the diagnostic test,
23 Plaintiff Cole checked her results through the Patient-Protected Website. Although Plaintiff Cole
24 did not know it at the time, Plaintiff Cole is informed and believes Defendant assisted Facebook
25 with tracking her through the Facebook Tracking Pixel while she was navigating the General
26 Website and Patient-Protected Website.

27 ¹ QUEST DIAGNOSTICS, ABOUT US, <https://www.questdiagnostics.com/our-company/about-us>

28 ² QUEST DIAGNOSTICS, COMPANY INFORMATION, <https://newsroom.questdiagnostics.com/company-information>.

5. Plaintiff Beatrice Roche is domiciled in Chowchilla, California. Approximately four months ago, Plaintiff Roche ordered a diagnostic test from Defendant through her primary care physician. To access those results, Plaintiff Roche navigated to the General Website, which redirected her to the Patient Protected Website, where she created an account. Once Defendant completed the diagnostic test, Plaintiff Roche checked her results through the Patient-Protected Website. Although Plaintiff Roche did not know it at the time, Plaintiff Roche is informed and believes Defendant assisted Facebook with tracking her through the Facebook Tracking Pixel while she was navigating the General Website and Patient-Protected Website.

6. Defendant is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Secaucus, New Jersey. Defendant develops, owns, and operates the General Website and the Patient-Protected Website, which is used throughout California and the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below, is a citizen of a different state than Defendant, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interests and costs.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Quest Diagnostics assisted Facebook with intercepting Plaintiffs' communications in this District.

9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

//

//

//

//

//

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Facebook’s Platform and its Business Tools

10. Facebook describes itself as a “real identity platform,”³ meaning users are allowed only one account and must share “the name they go by in everyday life.”⁴ To that end, when creating an account, users must provide their first and last name, along with their birthday and gender.⁵

11. In 2021, Facebook generated \$117 billion in revenue.⁶ Roughly 97% of that came from selling advertising space.⁷

12. Facebook sells advertising space by highlighting its ability to target users.⁸ Facebook can target users so effectively because it surveils user activity both on and off its site.⁹ This allows Facebook to make inferences about users beyond what they explicitly disclose, like their “interests,” “behavior,” and “connections.”¹⁰ Facebook compiles this information into a generalized dataset called “Core Audiences,” which advertisers use to apply highly specific filters and parameters for their targeted advertisements.¹¹

13. Advertisers can also build “Custom Audiences.”¹² Custom Audiences enables advertisers to reach “people who have already shown interest in [their] business, whether they’re

³ Sam Schechner and Jeff Horwitz, *How Many Users Does Facebook Have? The Company Struggles to Figure It Out*, WALL. ST. J. (Oct. 21, 2021).

⁴ FACEBOOK, COMMUNITY STANDARDS, PART IV INTEGRITY AND AUTHENTICITY, https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/integrity_authenticity.

⁵ FACEBOOK, SIGN UP, <https://www.facebook.com/>

⁶ FACEBOOK, META REPORTS FOURTH QUARTER AND FULL YEAR 2021 RESULTS, <https://investor.fb.com/investor-news/press-release-details/2022/Meta-Reports-Fourth-Quarter-and-Full-Year-2021-Results/default.aspx>

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ FACEBOOK, WHY ADVERTISE ON FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/205029060038706>.

⁹ FACEBOOK, ABOUT FACEBOOK PIXEL, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/742478679120153?id=1205376682832142>.

¹⁰ FACEBOOK, AD TARGETING: HELP YOUR ADS FIND THE PEOPLE WHO WILL LOVE YOUR BUSINESS, <https://www.facebook.com/business/ads/ad-targeting>.

¹¹ FACEBOOK, EASIER, MORE EFFECTIVE WAYS TO REACH THE RIGHT PEOPLE ON FACEBOOK, <https://www.facebook.com/business/news/Core-Audiences>.

¹² FACEBOOK, ABOUT CUSTOM AUDIENCES, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/744354708981227?id=2469097953376494>.

loyal customers or people who have used [their] app or visited [their] website.”¹³ With Custom Audiences, advertisers can target existing customers directly, and they can also build a “Lookalike Audiences,” which “leverages information such as demographics, interests, and behavior from your source audience to find new people who share similar qualities.”¹⁴ Unlike Core Audiences, advertisers can build Custom Audiences and Lookalike Audiences only if they first supply Facebook with the underlying data. They can do so through two mechanisms: by manually uploading contact information for customers, or by utilizing Facebook’s “Business Tools.”¹⁵

14. As Facebook puts it, the Business Tools “help website owners and publishers, app developers and business partners, including advertisers and others, integrate with Facebook, understand and measure their products and services, and better reach and serve people who might be interested in their products and services.”¹⁶ Put more succinctly, Facebook’s Business Tools are bits of code that advertisers can integrate into their website, mobile applications, and servers, thereby enabling Facebook to intercept and collect user activity on those platforms.

15. The Business Tools are automatically configured to capture certain data, like when a user visits a webpage, that webpage’s Universal Resource Locator (“URL”) and metadata, or when a user downloads a mobile application or makes a purchase.¹⁷ Facebook’s Business Tools can also track other events. Facebook offers a menu of “standard events” from which advertisers can

¹³ FACEBOOK, AD TARGETING, HELP YOUR ADS FIND THE PEOPLE WHO WILL LOVE YOUR BUSINESS, <https://www.facebook.com/business/ads/ad-targeting>.

¹⁴ Facebook, About Lookalike Audiences, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/164749007013531?id=401668390442328>.

¹⁵ FACEBOOK, CREATE A CUSTOMER LIST CUSTOM AUDIENCE, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/170456843145568?id=2469097953376494>; Facebook, Create a Website Custom Audience, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/1474662202748341?id=2469097953376494>.

¹⁶ FACEBOOK, THE FACEBOOK BUSINESS TOOLS, <https://www.facebook.com/help/331509497253087>.

¹⁷ See FACEBOOK, FACEBOOK PIXEL, ACCURATE EVENT TRACKING, ADVANCED, <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/facebook-pixel/advanced/>; see also FACEBOOK, BEST PRACTICES FOR FACEBOOK PIXEL SETUP, <https://www.facebook.com/business/help/218844828315224?id=1205376682832142>; FACEBOOK, APP EVENTS API, <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/marketing-api/app-event-api/>.

1 choose, including what content a visitor views or purchases.¹⁸ Advertisers can even create their
2 own tracking parameters by building a “custom event.”¹⁹

3 16. One such Business Tool is the Facebook Tracking Pixel. Facebook offers this piece
4 of code to advertisers, like Quest Diagnostics, to integrate into their website. As the name implies,
5 the Facebook Tracking Pixel “tracks the people and type of actions they take.”²⁰ When a user
6 accesses a website hosting the Facebook Tracking Pixel, Facebook’s software script surreptitiously
7 directs the user’s browser to send a separate message to Facebook’s servers. This second, secret
8 transmission contains the original GET request sent to the host website, along with additional data
9 that the Pixel is configured to collect. This transmission is initiated by Facebook code and
10 concurrent with the communications with the host website. Two sets of code are thus
11 automatically run as part of the browser’s attempt to load and read Defendant’s websites—
12 Defendant’s own code, and Facebook’s embedded code.

13 17. An example illustrates the point. Take an individual who navigates to Defendant’s
14 website and clicks on a tab for allergy information. When that tab is clicked, the individual’s
15 browser sends a GET request to Defendant’s server requesting that server to load the particular
16 webpage. Because Quest Diagnostics utilizes the Facebook Tracking Pixel, Facebook’s embedded
17 code, written in JavaScript, sends secret instructions back to the individual’s browser, without
18 alerting the individual that this is happening. Facebook causes the browser to secretly duplicate the
19 communication with Quest Diagnostics, transmitting it to Facebook’s servers, alongside additional
20 information that transcribes the communication’s content and the individual’s identity.

21 18. After collecting and intercepting this information, Facebook processes it, analyzes
22 it, and assimilates it into datasets like Core Audiences and Custom Audiences.

23
24
25
26 ¹⁸ FACEBOOK, SPECIFICATIONS FOR FACEBOOK PIXEL STANDARD EVENTS,
<https://www.facebook.com/business/help/402791146561655?id=1205376682832142>.

27 ¹⁹ FACEBOOK, ABOUT STANDARD AND CUSTOM WEBSITE EVENTS,
<https://www.facebook.com/business/help/964258670337005?id=1205376682832142>; *see also*
28 FACEBOOK, APP EVENTS API, <https://developers.facebook.com/docs/marketing-api/app-event-api/>.


²⁰ FACEBOOK, RETARGETING, <https://www.facebook.com/business/goals/retargeting>.

B. Quest Diagnostics and the Facebook Tracking Pixel

19. Defendant owns and operates www.questdiagnostics.com (“General Website”) and www.myquest.diagnostics.com (“Patient-Protected Website”).


20. The General Website is exactly that: generally available to all internet users. Here, users can browse articles, read publications, and access Defendant’s other services and products.

Figure 1



21. The Patient-Protected Website is a password-protected platform that Defendant offers to patients who seek to review test results, schedule appointments, or pay bills.

Figure 2



22. When operating the Patient-Protected Website, Defendant is a “business organized for the purpose of maintaining medical information ... in order to make the information available to an individual or to a provider of health care at the request of the individual or a provider of health care, for the purposes of allowing the individual to manage his or her information, or for the diagnosis and treatment of the individual[.]” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.06(a).

23. Defendant integrates the Facebook Tracking Pixel into both websites.

Figure 3 (General Website)







Figure 4 (Patient-Protected Website)²¹



24. For both websites, Defendant's Pixels intercept and transmit PageView information.

Figures 5 & 6



25. PageView transmits the URL accessed.


26. For the Patient-Protected Website, PageView discloses information "regarding a patient's medical history, mental or physical condition, or treatment" because it transmits

²¹ Figure 4, unlike Figure 3, shows a spinning wheel next to the "PageView" event. This signifies that the Pixel has yet to capture and transmit any data. That's because Defendant removed the Pixel from its Patient-Protected Website sometime after December 2021. Before Defendant did that, however, Plaintiffs' counsel recorded a URL transmission sent to Facebook. This URL transmission, once entered into a browser, allows Plaintiffs' counsel to reconstruct what communications Defendant previously aided Facebook with eavesdropping upon. The only difference is that, because Defendant removed the Pixel, it no longer registers a completed interception. In short, the spinning wheel has no significance.

information showing, at a minimum, that a patient has received and is accessing test results. Cal. Civ. Code. § 56.05(j).

27. For the General Website, Defendant's Pixel also intercepts and transmits ButtonClick and Microdata information.

Figures 7 & 8



28. Quest Diagnostics transmitted PageView, Microdata and Button Click data so Facebook could learn the contents and meaning of a user's electronic communication. By integrating and configuring the Pixel into its websites, Defendant aided, employed, agreed with, and conspired with Facebook to eavesdrop on these communications.

29. Defendant's Pixels also intercept and collect personally identifiable information.

30. A user who accesses either website while logged into Facebook will transmit the c_user cookie to Facebook, which contains that user's unencrypted Facebook ID. When accessing the General Website, for example, Facebook received eight cookies, seven of which are visible here:

Figure 9

fr	02VXub1vDwh0bqR6y.AWXdt4...	.facebook.com
xs	28%3AV7cP4NeaQbmlnA%3A2...	.facebook.com
c_user	100035966074568	.facebook.com
wd	1285x959	.facebook.com
datr	5FEyYi4WRu6eUP3OF-z9izze	.facebook.com
prese...	C%7B%22t3%22%3A%5B%5D...	.facebook.com
sb	ffAxYrY-GkCtpWeWYNqFWpIJ	.facebook.com

31. When a visitor's browser has recently logged out of an account, Facebook compels the visitor's browser to send a smaller set of cookies.²²

Figure 10

datr	5FEyYi4WRu6eUP3OF-z9izze	.facebook.com
sb	ffAxYrY-GkCtpWeWYNqFWpIJ	.facebook.com
wd	1285x959	.facebook.com
fr	02VXub1vDwh0bqR6y.AWWR3I...	.facebook.com
locale	en_US	.facebook.com

32. The fr cookie contains, at least, an encrypted Facebook ID and browser identifier.²³ The _fbp cookie contains, at least, an unencrypted value that uniquely identifies a browser.²⁴ The datr cookies also identifies a browser. Facebook, at a minimum, uses the fr and _fbp cookies to identify users.²⁵

33. If a visitor has never created an account, at least two cookies are transmitted.

Figure 11

sb	Y1QyYunv8SZyn_R2Kn7G71V4	.facebook.com
fr	0oL04gglaaUVD3WxK..BiMIR...	.facebook.com
_fbp	fb.1.1647465568570.9692458...	.questdiagnostic...

34. Without a corresponding Facebook ID, the fr cookie contains, at least, an abbreviated and encrypted value that identifies the browser. The _fbp cookie contains, at least, an unencrypted value that uniquely identifies a browser. Facebook uses both for targeted advertising.

²² Not pictured here and in the preceding image is the _fbp cookie, which is transmitted as a first-party cookie.

²³ DATA PROTECTION COMMISSIONER, FACEBOOK IRELAND LTD, REPORT OF RE-AUDIT (Sept. 21, 2012), http://www.europe-v-facebook.org/ODPC_Review.pdf.

²⁴ FACEBOOK, COOKIES & OTHER STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES, <https://www.facebook.com/policy/cookies/>.

²⁵ FACEBOOK, COOKIES & OTHER STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES, <https://www.facebook.com/policy/cookies/>.

1 35. The fr cookie expires after 90 days unless the visitor's browser logs back into
2 Facebook.²⁶ If that happens, the time resets, and another 90 days begins to accrue.²⁷

3 36. The _fbp cookie expires after 90 days unless the visitor's browser accesses the same
4 website.²⁸ If that happens, the time resets, and another 90 days begins to accrue.²⁹

5 37. The Facebook Tracking Pixel uses both first- and third-party cookies. A first-party
6 cookie is "created by the website the user is visiting"—*i.e.*, Quest Diagnostics.³⁰ A third-party cookie
7 is "created by a website with a domain name other than the one the user is currently visiting"—*i.e.*,
8 Facebook.³¹ The _fbp cookie is always transmitted as a first-party cookie. A duplicate _fbp cookie
9 is sometimes sent as a third-party cookie, depending on whether the browser has recently logged into
10 Facebook.

11 38. Facebook, at a minimum, uses the fr, _fbp, and c_user cookies to link to Facebook
12 IDs and corresponding Facebook profiles.

13 39. Defendant uses these cookies to pair event data with personally identifiable
14 information so it can later retarget patients on Facebook.

15 40. Quest Diagnostics expressly warrants that it will never conspire with a third-party to
16 intercept usage data paired with personally identifiable information.

17
18
19
20
21
22

23 ²⁶ See FACEBOOK, COOKIES & OTHER STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES,
24 <https://www.facebook.com/policy/cookies/>.

25 ²⁷ Confirmable through developer tools.

26 ²⁸ See FACEBOOK, COOKIES & OTHER STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES,
27 <https://www.facebook.com/policy/cookies/>.

28 ²⁹ Also confirmable through developer tools.

³⁰ PC MAG, FIRST-PARTY COOKIES, <https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia/term/first-party-cookie>.
This is confirmable by using developer tools to inspect a website's cookies and track network
activity.

³¹ PC MAG, THIRD-PARTY COOKIES, <https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia/term/third-party-cookie>.
This is also confirmable by tracking network activity.

Figures 12 & 13

Targeting cookies: These cookies are used to deliver online advertisements both on and off websites visited that may be more relevant to visitor interests based on activity from a website being browsed and based on a profile built of visitor interests. They may also be used to limit the number of times visitors see an advertisement and to help measure the effectiveness of advertising campaigns. We use targeting cookies. We do not serve third-party advertisements to you on our websites. But, we do set targeting cookies on our sites to help us promote Quest's products and services to you on other sites and platforms. We also allow third parties to place cookies on our websites, and information collected via these cookies is used to provide you with information that may be of interest to you based on your activities on our websites. These targeting cookies do not directly store personal information (such as names or email addresses), but store unique identifiers that identify to us and our partners a particular visitor's browser and/or device. Please visit our [Privacy Preferences Center](#) for more information about the cookies used across our websites and to manage your cookie preferences where available.

Performance cookies: These cookies collect information about how visitors use a website, such as the number of visitors browsing the site or a particular section of the site, the pages visitors go to most often, and whether they get error messages from web pages. These cookies do not collect information that identifies a specific visitor. Rather, the information these cookies collect is aggregated and therefore anonymous. The information that we gather through performance cookies is used to improve how the websites work, to help us evaluate website usage, makes our marketing more relevant, and improves your experience.

41. By warranting as much, Defendant has failed to receive consent from visitors to intercept their communications.

42. Facebook confirms that it eavesdrops on communications between Defendant and visitors.

Figures 14 & 15**Activity received from questdiagnostics.com**

ID	124607668127440
Event	PAGE_VIEW
Received on	January 24, 2022 at 2:58 PM

ID	1579473959015314
Event	PAGE_VIEW
Received on	December 27, 2021 at 8:02 AM

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of a Class consisting of all persons in California who have navigated and accessed questdiagnostics.com (the “General Website”) and/or myquest.questdiagnostics.com (the “Patient-Protected Website”).

44. Excluded from the Class is Defendant, the officers and directors of the Defendant at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which either Defendant has or had a controlling interest.

45. Plaintiffs are members of the Class they seek to represent.

46. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical. Although Plaintiffs do not yet know the exact size of the Class, upon information and belief, the Class includes more than one million members.

47. The Class is ascertainable because the Class Members can be identified by objective criteria – all individuals who have had their activity intercepted by Facebook while navigating questdiagnostics.com and/or myquest.questdiagnostics.com. Individual notice can be provided to Class Members “who can be identified through reasonable effort.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B).

48. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any individual actions or issues, including but not limited to:

- (a) Whether Quest Diagnostics represented to Class members that it would not collect sensitive, confidential or protected information;
- (b) Whether Quest Diagnostics gave the Class members a reasonable expectation of privacy that their communications were not being intercepted, received, or collected by Facebook when they accessed and viewed medical records through myquest.questdiagnostics.com;
- (c) Whether Quest Diagnostics in fact assisted, conspired with, or otherwise aided Facebook with intercepting, receiving, or collecting communications from Class members when Class members communicated generally with Quest Diagnostics or were viewing protected health information;

- (d) Whether Defendant assistance with intercepting, receiving, or collecting communications between Quest Diagnostics and Class members violated state and federal privacy laws;
- (e) Whether Defendant's assistance with intercepting, receiving, or collecting communications between Quest Diagnostics and Class members violated anti-wiretapping laws;
- (f) Whether Defendant's assistance with intercepting, receiving, or collecting communications between Quest Diagnostics and Class members violated any other state and federal tort laws;
- (g) Whether Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief to enjoin the unlawful conduct alleged herein; and
- (h) Whether Plaintiffs and Class members have sustained damages as a result of Defendant's conduct and if so, what is the appropriate measure of damages or restitution.

49. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members are similarly affected by Defendant's wrongful conduct. Plaintiffs have no interests antagonistic to the interests of the other members of the Class. Plaintiffs and all members of the Class have sustained economic injury arising out of Defendant's violations of common and statutory law as alleged herein.

50. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class because their interests do not conflict with the interests of Class members they seek to represent, they have retained counsel competent and experienced in prosecuting class actions, and they intend to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiffs and their counsel.

51. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Plaintiffs and Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Defendant's liability. Individualized litigation increases

1 the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by
2 the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential
3 for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer
4 management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and
5 comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendant's liability. Class treatment
6 of the liability issues will ensure that all claims are consistently adjudicated.

7 52. Plaintiffs reserve the right to revise the foregoing class allegations and definitions
8 based on facts learned and legal developments following additional investigation, discovery, or
9 otherwise.

10 **COUNT I**

11 **Violation Of The California Invasion Of Privacy Act ("CIPA"),**
12 **California Penal Code § 631**

13 53. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set
14 forth herein.

15 54. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the members of the Class.

16 55. The California Invasion of Privacy Act ("CIPA") is codified at Cal. Penal Code §§
17 630 to 638. The Act begins with its statement of purpose.

18 The Legislature hereby declares that advances in science and
19 technology have led to the development of new devices and
20 techniques for the purpose of eavesdropping upon private
21 communications and that the invasion of privacy resulting from the
22 continual and increasing use of such devices and techniques has
23 created a serious threat to the free exercise of personal liberties and
24 cannot be tolerated in a free and civilized society.

25 Cal. Penal Code § 630.

26 56. California penal Code § 631(a) provides, in pertinent part:

27 Any person who, by means of any machine, instrument, or
28 contrivance, or in any other manner ... willfully and without the
consent of all parties to the communication, or in any unauthorized
manner, reads, or attempts to read, or to learn the contents or
meaning of any message, report, or communication while the same is
in transit or passing over any wire, line, or cable, or is being sent
from, or received at any place within this state; or who uses, or
attempts to use, in any manner, or for any purpose, or to

communicate in any way, any information so obtained, or **who aids, agrees with, employs, or conspires** with any person or persons to unlawfully do, or permit, or cause to be done any of the acts or things mentioned above in this section, is punishable by a fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500).

57. A defendant must show it had the consent of all parties to a communication.

58. At all relevant times, Defendant aided, agreed with, and conspired with Facebook to track and intercept Plaintiffs' and Class members' internet communications while accessing www.questdiagnostics.com and www.myquest.questdiagnostics.com. They intercepted these communications without authorization and consent from Plaintiffs and Class members.

59. Defendant, when aiding and assisting Facebook's eavesdropping, intended to help Facebook learn some meaning of the content in the URLs and the content the visitor requested.

60. The following items constitute "machine[s], instrument[s], or contrivance[s]" under the CIPA, and even if they do not, the Facebook Tracking Pixel falls under the broad catch-all category of "any other manner":

- a. The computer codes and programs Facebook used to track Plaintiffs' and the Class members' communications while they were navigating the General Website and Patient-Protected Website;
- b. The Plaintiffs' and Class member's browsers;
- c. The Plaintiffs' and Class members' computing and mobile devices;
- d. Facebook's web and ad servers;
- e. The web and ad-servers from which Facebook tracked and intercepted the Plaintiffs' and Class members' communications while they were using a web browser to access or navigate the General Website and Patient-Protected Website;
- f. The computer codes and programs used by Facebook to effectuate its tracking and interception of the Plaintiffs' and Class members' communications while they were using a browser to visit Defendant's website; and
- g. The plan Facebook carried out to effectuate its tracking and interception of the Plaintiffs' and Class members' communications while they were using a web browser or mobile application to visit Defendant's websites.

61. Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered loss by reason of these violations, including, but not limited to, violations of their rights of privacy and loss of value in their personally-identifiable information.

62. Pursuant to California Penal Code § 637.2, Plaintiffs and Class members have been injured by the violation of California Penal Code § 631 and each seek damages for the greater of \$5,000 or three times the actual amount of damages, as well as injunctive relief.

COUNT II
Violations Of The California Invasion Of Privacy Act (“CMIA”),
California Civ. Code § 56.05, *Et Seq.*

63. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations contained in the paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.

64. The strictures of the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (“CMIA”) apply to, among others, “[a]ny business organized for the purpose of maintaining medical information ... in order to make the information available to an individual or to a provider of health care at the request of the individual or a provider of health care, for purposes of allowing the individual to manage his or her information, or for the diagnosis and treatment of the individual.” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.06(a).

65. By administering medical tests and providing a platform for patients to review results, Defendant falls under the CMIA’s ambit.

66. Medical information is defined as “any individually identifiable information, in electronic or physical form, in possession of or derived from a provider of health care, health care service plan, pharmaceutical company, or contractor regarding a patient’s medical history, mental or physical condition, or treatment.” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.06(j).

67. Defendant’s Pixel disclosed medical information because it transmitted a patient’s Facebook ID and other Facebook identifiers, which constitutes individually identifiable information, alongside event data that disclosed the URL of the webpage a patient accessed to review test results. By doing so, Defendant disclosed individually identifiable information regarding a patient’s medical history, mental or physical condition, or treatment.

68. The CMIA mandates that “[a] provider of health care, health care service plan, or contractor shall not disclose medical information regarding a patient of the provider of health care or an enrollee or subscriber of a health care service plan without first obtaining an authorization.” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10(a).

69. The CMIA also mandates that, “[e]xcept to the extent expressly authorized by a patient, ... a provider of health care, health care service plan, contractor or corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates shall not intentionally share, sell, use for marketing, or otherwise use medical information for a purpose not necessary to provide health care services to the patient.” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.10(d).

70. Under the CMIA, “a patient whose medical information has been used or disclosed in violation of Section 56.10, 56.104, 56.107, or 56.20 or subdivision (a) of Section 56.26 and who has sustained economic loss or personal injury therefrom may recover compensatory damages, punitive damages not to exceed three thousand dollars (\$3,000), attorney’s fees not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), and the costs of litigation.” Cal. Civ. Code §56.35. Moreover, “an individual may bring an action against a person or entity who has negligently released confidential information or records concerning him or her in violation of this part, for ... nominal damages of one thousand dollars (\$1,000)” or “[t]he amount of action damages, if any, sustained by the patient.” Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36.

71. Because Plaintiffs and Class members have been injured and economically damaged by Defendant's unlawful use and disclosure of their medical information, they are entitled to recover compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees, and the costs of litigation.

72. Because Defendant negligently released Plaintiffs' and Class members confidential information and records, they are likewise entitled to actual damages and nominal damages of \$1,000.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a. Determining that this action is a proper class action;
- b. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

- 1 Procedure, naming Plaintiffs as representative of the Class, and naming Plaintiffs’
2 attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;
- 3 c. For an order declaring that Defendant’s conduct violates the statutes referenced
4 herein;
- 5 d. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiffs and the Class on all counts asserted
6 herein;
- 7 e. Award compensatory damages, including statutory damages where available, to
8 Plaintiffs and the Class members against Defendant for all damages sustained as a
9 result of Defendant’s wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 10 f. For punitive damages, as warranted, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 11 g. Ordering Defendant to disgorge revenues and profits wrongfully obtained;
- 12 h. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded;
- 13 i. For injunctive relief as pleaded or as the Court may deem proper;
- 14 j. For an order awarding Plaintiffs and the Class their reasonable attorneys’ fees and
15 expenses and costs of suit; and
- 16 k. Grant Plaintiffs and the Class members such further relief as the Court deems
17 appropriate.

18 **JURY DEMAND**

19 Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all claims so triable in this action.

20
21 Dated: July 19, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

22 **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

23
24 By: /s/ L. Timothy Fisher

25 L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
26 1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
27 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
28 Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
E-mail: ltfisher@bursor.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs